Express Mail" Mailing Label Number: Date of Deposit:

I hereby certify that this paper, and all documents indicated therein as being attached are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop Issue Fee, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Iris E. Weber



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Bello, et al. INVENTOR(S)

SMART FRONT END FOR A PRINT TITLE

COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL

09/675,068 APPLICATION NO.

September 28, 2000 **FILED**

CONFIRMATION NO. 2843

King Y. Poon **EXAMINER**

2625 **ART UNIT**

April 20, 2006 **ALLOWED**

A0601-US-NP ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

XERZ 2 00375

RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Mail Stop Issue Fee

Dear Sir:

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication as to the allowance of the present application.

However, applicants respectfully submit the Statements of Reasons for Allowance are, in and of themselves, inappropriate. It is noted that the reasons for allowance may be set forth in instances in which " . . . the Examiner believes that the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims." (37 CFR §1.104(e)(2004)). In the present case, applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and, therefore, no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, the applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance.

Specifically, it has been indicated that the claims are allowed by importing interpretations into the claims in relation to the prior art that results in a potential imprecise and/or inaccurate understanding of the reasons. This places an unwarranted interpretation upon the claims. Such a characterization of the claims does not properly take into account applicants' claimed invention as reflected in the specification and the applicants' responses to the Examiner's office actions.

Therefore, while applicants believe the claims are allowable, applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in only the particular features highlighted in the stated Reasons for Allowance, as exactly expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

John P. Cornely

Reg. No. 41,687

1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579

(216) 861-5582